The Examiner objected to the drawings and specification. Applicant amended

the drawings and specification in accordance to the suggestions stated in the Office Ac-

tion and, therefore, submits the objections should be obviated. The amendments

should also obviate the rejection under 35 USC 112.

Applicant acknowledges claims 7, 8, 14, and 15 were objected to as being

dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in

independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant added new claims 19-22 in conformance with these suggestions.

Applicant encloses a check for \$ 36.00 to cover the cost of these claims plus

claim 5. In the event there are any further debits, please charge account number 19-

4516.

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 11-13, 16, and 18 as being antici-

pated under 35 USC 102. Applicant submits the foregoing amendments and following

remarks overcome the rejections.

Claims 1 and 11 require that the clasp be a single unit of material, wherein the

anchoring end and lace end are both formed from the single unit of material. U.S.

Patent No. 6568104 to Liu does not disclose that the fastener 30' be made of a single

unit of material. In fact, figure 10 shows fastener 30' being of multiple pieces.

The Examiner rejected claim 5 as being obvious under 35 USC 103. Applicant

respectfully submits the combination of Liu and 5379496 "(Krauss)" is not proper in a

Page 9

Serial No. 10/625,967

Response to First Official Action

rejection under 35 USC 103 because the references are from different fields of en-

deavor. In order for references to be properly combined, there must be some teaching

or suggestion in one reference to be combined with the other. Liu relates to a fastener

for facilitating tying shoes. Krauss relates to a buckle for joining two cords together.

There is no mention anywhere in Krauss to apply the cylinder to a shoe. Without the

requisite teaching or suggestion in Krauss to be combined with a shoe, or in Liu to be

combined with a buckle for connecting cords, there is no motivation for one skilled in the

art to make the suggested combination. Therefore, the rejection to claim 5 should be

withdrawn.

Moreover, even if Liu was combined with Krauss, there is no teaching or sug-

gestion to use Krauss' cylinder as the receiver for the fastener 30' of Liu. There is no

hint as to where to apply Krauss' cylinder anywhere in the invention of Liu. A combina-

tion of Krauss and Liu may result in the cylinder being used as a tie for the shoelaces of

Liu since Krauss joins two cords, which may be shoelaces. Hence, Applicant respect

fully submits that the combination of Krauss and Liu would not arrive at Applicant's

claimed invention.

Respectfully submitted.

Gene S. Winter, Registration No. 28,352

David Chen, Registration No. 46,613

Attorneys for Applicant

ST.ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS LLC

986 Bedford Street, Stamford, CT 06905-5619

203 324-6155